

Axial anomaly in the reduced model: Higher representations*

Teruaki Inagaki^a, Yoshio Kikukawa^b and Hiroshi Suzuki^c

^aGraduate School of Science and Engineering, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan

^bDepartment of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

^cDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan

The topological charge in the $U(N)$ vector-like reduced model can be defined by using the overlap Dirac operator. We obtain its large N limit for a fermion in a general gauge-group representation under a certain restriction of gauge field configurations which is termed $U(1)$ embedding.

1. Introduction

It had been unclear how to define the topological charge in the reduced model which is given by a zero-volume limit of lattice gauge theory. In ref. [1], the authors proposed to define the topological charge in a “fermionic way” by using the overlap Dirac operator and showed that there actually exist non-trivial topological sectors. In ref. [2], a general expression of the topological charge was given under a certain restriction of gauge field configurations termed $U(1)$ embedding. In ref. [2], it was also shown that a single fundamental Weyl fermion in the chiral gauge reduced model gives rise to an obstruction for a smooth fermion integration measure, if a Dirac operator which obeys the Ginsparg-Wilson relation is adopted. The phenomenon is analogous to the gauge anomaly in the original unreduced theory. It is then natural to consider a cancellation of the obstruction among fermion multiplets which belong to various gauge-group representations. As a first step toward this consideration, in this work we study the topological charge for general gauge-group representations in the vector-like reduced model. Our argument below is applied to the quenched reduced model as well as the naive reduced model à la Eguchi-Kawai. The extension to the twisted reduced model however is not clear for the present.

2. Axial anomaly with the GW relation

The Ginsparg-Wilson (GW) relation

$$\gamma_5 D + D \gamma_5 = D \gamma_5 D \quad (1)$$

ensures an exact chiral symmetry on the lattice and the corresponding axial anomaly

$$q(x) = \text{tr} \gamma_5 [1 - \frac{1}{2} D(x, x)] \quad (2)$$

has topological properties. For example, the topological charge Q defined by

$$Q = \sum_x q(x) = \text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \frac{1}{2} D) = n_+ - n_- \quad (3)$$

becomes an integer identical to the index of the Dirac operator ($n_{\pm}$ is the number of zero-modes of D with $\pm$ chirality, respectively).

As D , we adopt Neuberger’s overlap Dirac operator

$$D = 1 - A(A^\dagger A)^{-1/2}, \quad A = 1 - D_w, \\ D_w = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\mu [\gamma_\mu (\nabla_\mu^* + \nabla_\mu) + \nabla_\mu^* - \nabla_\mu], \quad (4)$$

where the covariant differences are defined by

$$\nabla_\mu \psi(x) = U_\mu(x) \psi(x + \hat{\mu}) - \psi(x), \\ \nabla_\mu^* \psi(x) = \psi(x) - U_\mu^\dagger(x - \hat{\mu}) \psi(x - \hat{\mu}). \quad (5)$$

Since the topological charge Q is an integer, the overlap Dirac operator becomes singular at certain points in the space of lattice gauge fields at

*Talk presented by T. Inagaki at Lattice 2003.

which the value of Q jumps. It is known that one can avoid these singularities by requiring the gauge field to be “admissible”

$$\|1 - U_\mu(x)U_\nu(x + \hat{\mu})U_\mu(x + \hat{\nu})^\dagger U_\nu(x)^\dagger\| < \epsilon, \quad (6)$$

where ϵ is a positive constant smaller than $(2 - \sqrt{2})/d(d-1)$. Then the space of lattice gauge fields is divided into topological sectors and the topological charge Q is well-defined for each topological sector.

When the gauge group is $U(1)$, a complete parameterization of admissible $U(1)$ gauge fields has been known [3]

$$U_\mu(x) = \Lambda(x)V_\mu^{[m]}(x)U_\mu^{[w]}(x)e^{iA_\mu^T(x)}\Lambda(x+\hat{\mu})^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

where $\Lambda(x)$ represents the gauge degrees of freedom and $V_\mu^{[m]}(x)$ has a constant field strength $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = 2\pi m_{\mu\nu}/L^2$, where $m_{\mu\nu}$ is the magnetic flux integer which labels topological sectors (L is the size of the lattice). $U_\mu^{[w]}(x)$ carries the Wilson line, $\prod_{s=0}^{L-1} U_\mu^{[w]}(s\hat{\mu}) = w_\mu \in U(1)$, and $A_\mu^T(x)$ represents gauge invariant local fluctuations. With this parameterization, the topological charge Q in eq. (3) is expressed as [4]

$$Q = \frac{(-1)^{d/2}}{2^{d/2}(d/2)!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\nu_1 \dots \mu_{d/2}\nu_{d/2}} m_{\mu_1\nu_1} \dots m_{\mu_{d/2}\nu_{d/2}}. \quad (8)$$

3. Reduced model and the $U(1)$ embedding

The $U(N)$ reduced model is defined by zero-volume limit of $U(N)$ lattice gauge theory: $U_\mu(x) \in U(N) \rightarrow U_\mu \in U(N)$. Here we consider the fundamental fermion in the vector-like reduced model: $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi \in$ fundamental rep. of $U(N)$. The fermion sector is defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_F = \int d\psi d\bar{\psi} \mathcal{O} \exp(-\bar{\psi} D \psi). \quad (9)$$

In this expression, the covariant difference in the overlap Dirac operator D is defined by $\nabla_\mu = U_\mu - 1$. Then the admissibility condition and the topological charge are given by

$$\|1 - U_\mu U_\nu U_\mu^\dagger U_\nu^\dagger\| < \epsilon, \quad Q = \text{tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \frac{1}{2} D). \quad (10)$$

In ref. [1], an explicit example of admissible gauge field configurations with $Q \neq 0$ was given. Here

we use the following method [2] which produces a wide class of admissible configurations.

First we assume $N = L^d$ with an integer L . Then the index of the fundamental representation i ($1 \leq i \leq N = L^d$) of ψ can be identified with a site x on the lattice Γ , $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid 0 \leq x_\mu < L\}$, by $i(x) = 1 + x_d + Lx_{d-1} + \dots + L^{d-1}x_1$ for example.

Next we assume that the reduced gauge field has the following form (the $U(1)$ embedding)

$$U_\mu = u_\mu \Gamma_\mu, \quad (11)$$

where u_μ is an $N \times N$ diagonal matrix, and the “shift” matrix Γ_μ is defined by

$$\Gamma_\mu = \mathbf{1}_L \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_L \otimes U \otimes \mathbf{1}_L \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_L \quad (12)$$

from $L \times L$ unit matrix $\mathbf{1}_L$ and the $L \times L$ unitary matrix U

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

which appears in the μ -th entry. Then the covariant difference in the reduced model assumes the form

$$\nabla_\mu = U_\mu - 1 = u_\mu \Gamma_\mu - 1. \quad (14)$$

We note that all diagonal elements of u_μ are pure-phase, i.e., they are elements of $U(1)$. Since the matrix Γ_μ realizes a shift on the lattice, $\Gamma_\mu \psi_{i(x)} = \psi_{i(x+\hat{\mu})}$, we see that the fermion sector of the $U(N)$ reduced model with the $U(1)$ embedding (11) is completely identical to that of the $U(1)$ lattice gauge theory. In particular, the plaquette and the admissibility condition in the reduced model are promoted to those of the $U(1)$ lattice gauge theory. By this way, we immediately find that the topological charge in the reduced model in eq. (10) is given by the expression (8), where this time integers $m_{\mu\nu}$ parameterize a “form” of the admissible reduced $U(N)$ gauge fields U_μ [2].

4. Q_R in the vector-like reduced model

We next consider a fermion belonging to a general irreducible representation R of $SU(N)$:

$$(\psi)_{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_m}, \quad (15)$$

where each of $i_1, \dots, i_n$ transforms as the fundamental representation and each of $j_1, \dots, j_m$ transforms as the anti-fundamental representation. The covariant difference is then defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_\mu \psi)_{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_m} \\ &= (U_\mu)_{i_1 k_1} \cdots (U_\mu)_{i_n k_n} (\psi)_{k_1, \dots, k_n; l_1, \dots, l_m} \\ & \quad \times (U_\mu^\dagger)_{l_1 j_1} \cdots (U_\mu^\dagger)_{l_m j_m} - (\psi)_{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_m}. \end{aligned}$$

For a such general representation, however, the U(1) embedding (11) itself does not provide a useful picture such as the matrix-lattice correspondence which was utilized in ref. [2]. Here we consider the large N approximation with the U(1) embedding. We can set $\Lambda(x) = 1$ and $A_\mu^T(x) = 0$ in eq. (7) because the topological charge Q is an integer-valued gauge invariant quantity.

In terms of eigenvectors of the shift matrix

$$\Gamma_\mu \phi(\vec{p}) = e^{2\pi i p_\mu / L} \phi(\vec{p}), \quad (16)$$

where $\{\vec{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid 0 \leq p_\mu < L\}$, the topological charge for the representation R is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_R &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{p}_1} \cdots \sum_{\vec{p}_n} \sum_{\vec{q}_1} \cdots \sum_{\vec{q}_m} \\ & \quad \times \phi(\vec{p}_1)_{i_1}^\dagger \cdots \phi(\vec{p}_n)_{i_n}^\dagger \phi(\vec{q}_1)_{j_1} \cdots \phi(\vec{q}_m)_{j_m} \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{H}{\sqrt{H^2}} \mathcal{P}_R \right)_{i_1, \dots, i_n; j_1, \dots, j_m; k_1, \dots, k_n; l_1, \dots, l_m} \\ & \quad \times \phi(\vec{p}_1)_{k_1} \cdots \phi(\vec{p}_n)_{k_n} \phi(\vec{q}_1)_{l_1}^\dagger \cdots \phi(\vec{q}_m)_{l_m}^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

In this expression, $H = \gamma_5 A$ and $\mathcal{P}_R$ is the projection operator for the irreducible representation R . It turns out that in the leading order of the large N (or large L ; recall $N = L^d$) approximation, one can replace the projection operator by

$$\mathcal{P}_R \rightarrow \frac{\dim R}{N^{n+m}} \times \text{identity operator}. \quad (17)$$

We also note that, with the U(1) embedding, $u_\mu = \mathbf{1}_N(1 + O(1/L))$ and $U_\mu = \Gamma_\mu(1 + O(1/L))$ where sub-leading terms are diagonal matrices and the operator H^2 contains the commutator $[U_\mu, U_\nu] = (e^{2\pi i m_{\mu\nu}/L^2} - 1)U_\nu U_\mu = 2\pi i m_{\mu\nu}/L^2(1 + O(1/L))$. With these observations and with the relations $\sum_{\vec{p}_2} \cdots \sum_{\vec{p}_n} \sum_{\vec{q}_1} \cdots \sum_{\vec{q}_m} 1 = N^{n+m-1}$ and

$\sum_{\vec{p}_1} = L^d \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} (1 + O(1/L))$ where $k_\mu = 2\pi p_{1\mu}/L$, we see that the leading term in the large N approximation is given by the large L limit of

$$\begin{aligned} Q_R &= \frac{\dim R}{N} \frac{1}{2} L^d \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \\ & \quad \times \text{tr} \gamma_5 [-i\gamma_\mu s_\mu + 1 + \sum_{\mu} (c_\mu - 1)] \\ & \quad \times \{s_\nu^2 + [1 + \sum_{\nu} (c_\nu - 1)]^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{2\pi i(n-m)m_{\nu\rho}}{2L^2} \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho c_\nu c_\rho \\ & \quad + \frac{2\pi i(n-m)m_{\nu\rho}}{L^2} \gamma_\nu i c_\nu s_\rho\}^{-1/2}, \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the abbreviations $s_\mu = \sin k_\mu$ and $c_\mu = \cos k_\mu$. The integral (18) is a well-known one in a calculation of the *classical continuum limit* of the axial anomaly (2), if one identifies $1/L$ with the lattice spacing a and $2\pi i(n-m)m_{\mu\nu}$ with the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ in the continuum theory. By this way, we have

$$Q_R = (n-m)^{d/2} \frac{\dim R}{N} Q_F (1 + O(1/L)), \quad (19)$$

where the topological charge for the fundamental representation Q_F is given by eq. (8).

The above calculational scheme will be very useful to investigate a possible cancellation of the obstruction in chiral gauge reduced models which was identified in ref. [2]. This study is under progress. For more details and for a more complete list of references, see ref. [5].

REFERENCES

1. J. Kiskis, R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 025019 [hep-lat/0203005].
2. Y. Kikukawa and H. Suzuki, JHEP 09 (2002) 032 [hep-lat/0207009].
3. M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B549 (1999) 295 [hep-lat/9811032].
4. H. Igarashi, K. Okuyama and H. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. B644 (2002) 383 [hep-lat/0206003], and references therein.
5. T. Inagaki, Y. Kikukawa and H. Suzuki, JHEP 05 (2003) 042 [hep-lat/0305011].